



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/655,096	09/04/2003	Swee M. Mok	CML00577T (78580)	6889	
22242	7590 01/20/2006		EXAMINER		
	EN TABIN AND FLAT	RAO, SHEELA S			
	LA SALLE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1600	IL 60603-3406		THI DK WOMBER		
chicado,	16 00003-3400		2125	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/655,096	MOK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sheela Rao	2125				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIO - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR ISIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status		•					
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	September 2005.					
2a)⊠		his action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mo						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex part</i> e Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.	·				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreion All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	received in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bure						
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		·			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's amendment and response filed 26 September 2005 has been entered and considered.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are pending and presented for examination. Claim 1 has been amended.

Response to Amendment

- The objection made to the specification is withdrawn in light of the amendment made.
- 4. The rejection of claims 8-10, 12, 13, 15-17, and 21-29 under 35 USC §112, 2nd paragraph, is withdrawn in light of Applicant's remarks.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1-18 under 35 USC §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter is maintained and is restated below.
- 6. The rejection of claims 1-7, 11, 14, 18-20, and 30 under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Hill et al., (USPN 6,453,209 B1) is withdrawn in light of Applicant's remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. These claims feature limitations that are abstract and are not limited to a practical application or use of the abstract ideas. Furthermore, the limitations of the instant claims feature non-functional descriptive material. A claim is limited to a practical application when the method, as claimed, produces a concrete, tangible and useful result; i.e. the method recites a step or act of producing something that is physical and practical. In this case, no such concrete, tangible, and useful result has been claimed. The methodology of instant claims 1 and 18 provide for the "how", i.e. steps of

manufacturing, but do not establish a "what", i.e. manufactured/produced product or material or result.

See MPEP 2106(IV). In addition, Applicant is advised to review chapter 2100 – Patentability, as a means of guidance for the formulation of the claims. The claims as presented do not meet the requirements as set forth as they are not in proper form for computer implemented claims.

Claims 19-30 are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed September 26, 2005, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-7, 11, 14, 18-20, and 30 has been withdrawn. Applicant's is advised that any amendments submitted to overcome the pending 35 USC §101 rejection may necessitate further consideration and search.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheela Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-3751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday – Wednesday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax number for the organization where this application or any proceeding papers is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. It should be noted that status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should any questions arise regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheela S. Rao January 18, 2006

> LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

J-P.P-